

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,967 07/10/2003		07/10/2003	Willard M. Welch	PC11002B	4135
23913	7590	05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
PFIZER I	NC		BERNHARDT, EMILY B		
150 EAST 42ND STREET 5TH FLOOR - STOP 49				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	RK, NY 1	•	1624		
				DATE MAN ED ASSISTANCE	-

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/617,967	WELCH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Emily Bernhardt	1624		

Advisory Action	10/617,967	WELCHET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 February 2005</u>. A to of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replemental series.</li> </ol>	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		educina or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	no. To the appear by materially to	cadoning or ontiphrying	, the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		. Almondo Alle de	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	mowable il submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	ient canceling
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wvided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,9-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and the first are seen that the first the first term are seen that the first term are seen to be a first term are		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a f nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>randing</u> with or other evidence	<u>iot</u> be entered is necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		
		& Blukus	W.
		Emily Bernhardt Primary Examiner	
•		Art Unit: 1624	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,967

Art Unit: 1624

The after final amendment filed 4/28/05 will be entered as it overcomes the rejections under 35 USC 112, par.two. However both rejections under par.one remain. With regard to reasons #1, applicants' remarks regarding test data not being necessary since many compounds in the prior art are known to antagonize 5-HT7 receptors are not persuasive. No evidence has been timely provided that similar compounds to applicants having a diversity of heteroaryls as embraced herein are 5-HT7 receptor agonists- the activity relied on herein. Simply asserting that the entire genus has 5-HT7 agonistic activity does not automatically avoid an enablement rejection. If it did, the factors discussed in In re Wands and the MPEP would not have to be evaluated. Given the many factors that do pertain in the instant case as discussed in previous actions there is reason to question efficacy of the instant scope given the homogeneity of the prepared examples (in claim 4) coupled with the lack of any test data (just an inference that compounds were tested). As there is no test data reported and thus no structure-activity trends that can be evaluated more than undue experimentation is required to determine which permutations out of the billions claimed might be suitable to practice the invention. Thus, the examiner has not limited an analysis of the Wands factors to

Application/Control Number: 10/617,967

Art Unit: 1624

just one factor but rather many and based on the deficiencies that exist for most has considered "the evidence as a whole" weighing against enablement.

Reason #2 also remains for composition and use claims. The references newly cited do not evidence that 5-HT7 **agonists** have such a range of uses as applicants are still claiming. These references are either directed to 5-HT7 antagonists or to other 5-HT receptor types. It is not disputed that depression, anxiety and circadian sleep disorders can be treated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Bernhardt whose telephone number is 571-272-0664.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the acting supervisor for AU 1624, James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,967

Art Unit: 1624

Page 4

F Beinhaud O Emily Bernhardt Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624